

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,897	11/21/2003	Jonathan Phillips	044463-0264	9078	
22428 FOLEY AND I	7590 01/23/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		COLLINS, CYNTHIA E			
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/717,897	PHILLIPS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cynthia Collins	1638		

	Cynthia Collins	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	liance with 27 CED 44 27 must be	ما المسامل من المساملة المساملة المساملة المساملة	an af tha data of			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A beataness and a dealer of CP or a bit	-1'C A1(11				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Cynthia Collins	lling			
		umma 00	Malon			
		Cynthia Collins Primary Examiner	1/10/01			

Art Unit: 1638

Continuation of 3. NOTE: (b) the proposed amendment(s) raise the issue of new matter with respect to the limitation "wherein said sequence is at least 30 nucleotides in length and confers vascular-preferred polynucleotide transcription" in claim 4.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The claimed invention is not adequately described; while paragraphs [0074] to [0079] of the published application (pages 22-24 of the specification) describe variant polynucleotides in general art recognized terms of percent identity and hybridization, paragraphs [0074] to [0079] of the published application (pages 22-24 of the specification) do not describe the structure of any actual functional variant of SEQ ID NO:47.

The full scope of the claimed invention is not enabled; while Applicants maintain that the specification provides extensive disclosure of functional variants that are 95% identical to SEQ ID NO: 47 and confer vascular-preferred polynucleotide transcription, and methods of producing and using these variants, at paragraphs [0124] to [0135] in the published application (pages 44 to of the specification), the Examiner maintains that paragraphs [0124] to [0135] in the published application (pages 44 to 47 of the specification) do not disclose even a single functional variant of SEQ ID NO:47, as paragraphs [0124] to [0135] in the published application (pages 44 to of the specification) disclose only general methods that were known in the art at the time of filing, and their use to construct and screen Pinus radiata and Eucalyptus grandis cDNA libraries.

Claim 2, and claims 3-6 dependent thereon, are indefinite, as the proposed amendment(s) were not entered.

Claim 4 is anticipated by Polvere, as the proposed amendment(s) were not entered..